





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/102,238 06/22/1998		06/22/1998	KENICHI KUBO	B208-967	1575
26272	7590	10/22/2002			
ROBIN BL		& DALEY	EXAMINER		
2ND FLOOF 330 MADIS	ON AVEN	· - -	VILLECCO, JOHN M		
NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612		
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	1.					
•	''	7	KUBO ET AL.	M					
Office Action Summary	09/102,238								
Onice Action Gummary	Examiner	'	Art Unit						
The MAU ING DATE of this communication and	John M. Villecco		2612 respondence addres						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.	<i>July 2002</i> .								
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayie,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.						
4) Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consider	ation.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.									
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.							
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		,	(-,-						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-15						

Application/Control Number: 09/102,238 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION II

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. Applicant has cancelled previously pending claims 1-7 and added new claims 8 and 9. Applicant asserts that the amendment has placed the claims into allowance because the conversion characteristic mentioned in the newly added claims is one that governs the relationship between the rotating amount of the operation member and the amount of variation of the control data of the position of a lens. However, these newly added claims have been rejected based on a new grounds of rejection based on the same reference, Sato et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,832,318). Please see the new grounds of rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 9 recites the limitation "the conversion characteristic mode" in lines 7-10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. In the claim there is no prior mention to a conversion characteristic mode.

Application/Control Number: 09/102,238

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sato et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,832,318).
- 8. Sato discloses a lens control system which includes a manual operation member (105) that is rotatively operated by the user's hand, an encoder (106) for detecting the amount of rotation of the manual operation member (105), a lens group (102), and a CPU (104) for controlling the operation of the lens group according to the input from the manual rotation member. Depending upon how fast the rotative member (105) is operated the CPU will drive the lens the appropriate distance (col. 6, lines 15-21). For example if the CPU (5) detects a fast operating speed, for each pulse detected by the operation member (105) the motor will be driven by 8 pulses (col. 6, line 53 col. 7, line 4). The system includes three different detected speed of

Application/Control Number: 09/102,238

Art Unit: 2612

the operation member (105) – high, normal, and low. Each of these different detected speeds of the operation member (105) relates to a different driving amount of the zooming motor (8). Therefore, the conversion characteristic changing means is changing between the different detected rotation speeds of the operation member (105) since for each detected pulse of the operation member (105) a different number of pulses are generated for each of the speeds. The number of pulses relates to the distance that the lens is being driven.

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Hoshino et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,841,325) discloses changing the location of a lens group based on a calculation which uses a varying calculation coefficient.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for indication of allowable subject matter is that the prior art fails to teach of reasonably suggest correcting the reference data of the amount of variation of conversion characteristic mode when a different mode is detected based on the control data of the previous sampling.

Application/Control Number: 09/102,238

Art Unit: 2612

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"; for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Villecco whose telephone number is (703) 305-1460.

Art Unit: 2612

Page 6

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service desk whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

10/9/02